APPLICANT: Cornerstone Service and Ann Street in Joliet, Will County FILE NUMBER: 200600294 (LRC-	, Illinois			of the Intersection of TED: ☑ Office ☑I	
Approved Jurisdictional Determina	ition (JD) (For Sites re	gulated under 33 C	FR 320-330).	An approved JD is a	ın appealable
action. (33 CFR 331.2)	<b>^!</b> =				
Based on available informa There are no waters on					
☐ There are non-jurisdicti		ct site.			
There are waters of the					
☐ There are both waters o	f the United States and n	ion-jurisdictional w	aters on the pr	roject site.	
Basis of Jurisdictional Determinati					
There are no jurisdictional				avacantible for use (	
The presence of waters whi interstate or foreign commerce,					
of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1		in are subject to an	, coo and now	of the tide (i.e., hav	igable waters
The presence of interstate v		te wetlands <sup>1</sup> ). (33	CFR 328.3 (a)	(2))	
☐ The presence of a tributary	to an interstate water or	other water of the	US. (33 CFR 3	328.3 (a)(5))	
☐ The presence of wetlands a				state or other waters	of the US,
except for those wetlands a					
The presence of an isolated sandflats, wetlands, slough	water (e.g., intrastate la	kes, rivers, streams	i (including int	ermittent streams), r	nudflats,
Other:	s, prairie pouloies, wet ii	icauows, piaya iaki	58, Of Hatural p	onus).	
Section 10 waterway.					
Information Reviewed					
U. S. Fish and Wildlift			IET.		
U. S. Geological Surv					
☐ USDA Natural Resour					
U. S. Geological Surve	ey 7.5-Minute Topograph ey 7.5-Minute Historic Q				
U. S. Geological Surve					
Aerials (Name & Date			i		
Advanced Identification					
Site Visit Conducted of	n: April 28, 2006				
Other information:					
Rationale for Basis (applies to any	boxes checked above):	There are no wetla	nds located on	the subject property	<i>'</i> .
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33					
Ordinary High Water Mark		7			
clear, natural line imp			terrestrial vege	etation	
the presence of litter a changes in the charac		shelving other:			
wetland boundary	ci oi son	_ ouiçi.			
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:					
□ Unable to confirm the prese	nce of waters listed in 3'	3 CFR 328 3(a)(1)	328 3(a)(2) o	r 328 3(a)(4) throug	h 328 3(a)(7)
Area under considerati					
Area under considerati					
☐ Headquarters declined to ap	prove jurisdiction on the	basis of 328.3(a)(3	<ol><li>3) [attach copy</li></ol>	of HQ rationale]	
Confirmation of Wetland Boundar					
☐ This office concurs with you ☐ This office does not confir			prepared by	•	
Recommended by:	-5-		Date:	17 man	06
	— <u> </u>			1320	
Wetlands are identified and delineated up	ing the methods and criteri	a established in the C	orps Wetland D	elineation Manual (87)	Manual) (i.e.,

occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPLICANT: Pasquinelli Development Group north of Millsdale Road and east of Brandon Road in the Cedar Creek watershed in unincorporated Jackson Township, Will County, IL Sec 4 T34N R10E FILE NUMBER: 200500835 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:   Office Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)  Based on available information:  There are no waters on the project site.  There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.  There are waters of the United States on the project site.  There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.  The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))  The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))  The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))  The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))  The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).  Other:  Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed  □ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: ELWOOD. □ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: ELWOOD, 254. □ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County. □ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: ELWOOD, 1993. □ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles: □ U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles: □ Aerials (Name & Date): □ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: □ Site Visit Conducted on: □ Other information: Request for concurrence of negative findings dated July 27, 2005, prepared by EnCAP, Inc.
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): There are no waters on the project site.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):  Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:  clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary  destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other:
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
<ul> <li>✓ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)</li> <li>✓ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria</li> <li>✓ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria</li> </ul>
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries  ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated July 27, 2005, prepared by EnCAP, Inc. ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary
Recommended by:
Recommended by:  Date: 24MA7 86  Approved by:  Date: 27 MA9 86

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Mark Zenkner PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 1088 Center Drive, St. Charles, Kane County, Illinois (Section 2, T40N R8E) FILE NUMBER: 200600292 (LRC-2006-14059) PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☒ Office ☒ Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. **Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:** There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands<sup>1</sup>). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent<sup>2</sup> (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: GENEVA, HA 142. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: GENEVA, 1949. U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): 1999, no source Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: KANE COUNTY Site Visit Conducted on: May 25, 2006 by Jaimee W. Hammit of the USACE, Chicago District Other information: FEMA FIRM Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): There is an unnamed tributary on the subject property which flows into Brewster Creek for a short distance before entering the Fox River, a navigable waterway. A fen (ADID#1946) is also on the subject property and has a surface water tributary system connection to the unnamed tributary. Therefore, the unnamed tributary and fen are both under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soils other: wetland boundary **Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:** Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] **Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries** This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated August 8, 2005, prepared by Hey and Associates, Inc. This office does not confirm your wetland boundary. Recommended by: Approved by:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

and West of Will Center Road on Rock Creek tributary in	TION/WATERWAY: 77-act Monee, Will County, IL CW COMPLETED: [] Office		outh of Margaret Road
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites action. (33 CFR 331.2)  Based on available information:  There are no waters on the project site.  There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.  There are waters of the United States on the project site.  There are both waters of the United States and	ject site. roject site.		JD is an appealable
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:			
<ul> <li>☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United S</li> <li>☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters w of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))</li> <li>☐ The presence of interstate waters (including inter</li> <li>☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water</li> <li>☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands)</li> <li>☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, we</li> <li>☐ Other:</li> <li>☐ Section 10 waterway.</li> </ul>	or were used in the past, or manich are subject to the ebb and state wetlands <sup>1</sup> ). (33 CFR 328. or other water of the US. (33 Contiguous, or neighboring) to inds. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) lakes, rivers, streams (including	y be susceptible f flow of the tide (i 3 (a)(2)) FR 328.3 (a)(5)) nterstate or other g intermittent stre	e., navigable waters waters of the US,
Information Reviewed			
<ul> <li>U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National We</li> <li>U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: S</li> <li>USDA Natural Resources Conservation Serv</li> <li>U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topogr</li> <li>U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic</li> <li>U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic</li> <li>Aerials (Name &amp; Date):</li> <li>Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:</li> <li>Site Visit Conducted on: 22 MARCH 2006</li> <li>Other information: Delineation report dated</li> </ul>	TEGER, 209. rice Soil Survey for Will Count aphic Maps: STEGER, 1990. Quadrangles: Quadrangles:		
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above Exhibit 7 in the delineation report are tributary to Rock Cree the waterway flowing from the subdivision north of the site.	ek and are jurisdictional Water	s of the U.S. In a	addition to these areas,
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):  Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:  □ clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil  □ wetland boundary	destruction of terrestrial shelving other:	vegetation	
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:			
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have be ☐ Area under consideration is not likely have be	en jurisdictional under pre-SW	ANCC Migratory	Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the contraction of	he basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach	copy of HQ ration	nale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries  ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland bound		э <b>у</b>	
Recommended by:	Da	ite: <u>2</u>	5 My 2006
Approved by:	Date:	<u> </u>	Mn> 2006
Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and crit	eria established in the Corns Wetla	nd Delineation Mar	ual (87 Manual) (i e

<sup>1</sup>Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPLICANT: Heeney Builders PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Lot 21 Of Country Club Estates In Long Grove, Lake County, Illinois (Section 31, T43N, R11E)
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-682 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:  Grice Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)  Based on available information:  There are no waters on the project site.  There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.  There are waters of the United States on the project site.  There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
<ul> <li>□ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.</li> <li>□ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable water of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))</li> <li>□ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))</li> <li>□ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))</li> <li>□ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))</li> <li>□ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).</li> <li>□ Other:</li> <li>□ Section 10 waterway.</li> </ul>
Information Reviewed  U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory:
U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.  U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps:  U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:  U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:  Aerials (Name & Date):  Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:  Site Visit Conducted on: March 16, 2006  Other information:
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetland 1 including the eroded drainage ditch, as referenced in your delineation report, are hydrologically connected to Buffalo Creek which drains to the Des Plaines River, a navigable water and are therefore regulated by this office.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):  Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:  clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary  Carrell Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):  Clear, natural line impressed on the bank shelving other
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7).  Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria.  Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria.
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries  This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated January 26, 2006, prepared by Hey and Associates.  This office does not confirm your wetland boundary
Date:   5   30   06
Approved by: Date:
<sup>1</sup> Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPLICANT: Costekin Development	PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Squaw Creek/Fox River
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-603	PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ⊠ Office □ Field
action. (33 CFR 331.2)  Based on available information:  There are no waters on the pro There are non-jurisdictional w  There are waters of the United	aters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:	
☐ The presence of waters which are interstate or foreign commerce, includi of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) ☐ The presence of interstate waters (☐ The presence of a tributary to an i☐ The presence of wetlands adjacen except for those wetlands adiacen ☐ The presence of an isolated water	of the United States present on the project site. currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport ing all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters including interstate wetlands <sup>1</sup> ). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, it to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, ite potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
Information Reviewed	
U. S. Geological Survey Hyd  □ USDA Natural Resources Co □ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 □ □ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 □ □ U. S. Geological Survey 15 №	nservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.  Minute Topographic Maps: GRAYSLAKE, 1993.  Minute Historic Quadrangles:  Minute Historic Quadrangles:  County 1939, 2000, 2004, & 2005; PhotoMapper 2001, 2002, & 2005
	checked above): Both wetlands on the property have a surface water connection to ed States, via Squaw Creek and are therefore also waters of the United States under my.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 32 Ordinary High Water M clear, natural line impress the presence of litter and changes in the character o wetland boundary	ark indicated by: sed on the bank
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:	
Area under consideration is li	waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) kely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria of likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve j	urisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries  This office concurs with your wet  This office does not confirm your	wetland houndary
Recommended by:	Date: 5/26/06
Approved by:	Date:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPLICANT: Reva Bay L.L.C.	PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Grass Lake/Fox River
FILE NUMBER: 200600344	PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: M Office Field
action. (33 CFR 331.2)  Based on available informati  There are no waters on th  There are non-jurisdictio  There are waters of the U	
<b>Basis of Jurisdictional Determination</b>	n:
☐ The presence of waters which interstate or foreign commerce, in of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))☐ The presence of interstate water in the presence of a tributary to the presence of wetlands addressed for those wetlands addressed in the presence of an isolated water interstate water interstates water interstates water interstates water interstates wetlands addressed in the presence of an isolated water interstates with the presence of an isolated water interstates with the presence of an isolated water interstates water water water interstates water	raters of the United States present on the project site.  the are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters afters (including interstate wetlands <sup>1</sup> ). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))  to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))  tipacent <sup>2</sup> (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, interstate of other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))  water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
Information Reviewed	
U. S. Geological Survey  USDA Natural Resource  U. S. Geological Survey  U. S. Geological Survey  U. S. Geological Survey  U. S. Geological Survey  Aerials (Name & Date):	es Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County. 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: FOX LAKE, 1993. 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Lake County 1939, 2000, 2004 & 2005; PhotoMapper 2001, 2002, & 2005 Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY.
	oxes checked above): Grass lake is part of the Fox RIver Chain of Lakes system and a nder the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 Cl Ordinary High Wa Clear, natural line im the presence of litter changes in the charact wetland boundary	ter Mark indicated by:  pressed on the bank  Adestruction of terrestrial vegetation  and debris  Shelving
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:	
Area under consideration	ce of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to appr	rove jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
	r wetland delineation report dated , prepared by
Recommended by:	Date: 5/30/06
Approved by:	Date:
	ng the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Mr. Dan Machnik PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 41092 N. Deep Lake Road In Antioch, Lake County, Illinois (Section 16, T46N, R9E) FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-709 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☐ Office ☐ Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. **Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:** There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands<sup>1</sup>). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent<sup>2</sup> (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerials (Name & Date): Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: Site Visit Conducted on: April 25, 2006 Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The wetland drains to Loon Lake which is hydrologically connected to the Fox River, a navigable water, and is therefore regulated by this office. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris Shelving changes in the character of soil other wetland boundary **Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:** Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated April 1, 2006, prepared by DK Environmental Services, This office does not confirm your wetland boundary Recommended by: Approved by: Date: <sup>1</sup>Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,

occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

County, Illinois
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-715 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☐ Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)  Based on available information:  There are no waters on the project site.  There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.  There are waters of the United States on the project site.  There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
<ul> <li>□ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.</li> <li>□ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))</li> <li>□ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))</li> <li>□ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))</li> <li>□ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))</li> <li>□ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).</li> <li>□ Other:</li> <li>□ Section 10 waterway.</li> </ul>
Information Reviewed
U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory:  U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County.  U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: ELMHURST, 1993.  U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:  U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:  Aerials (Name & Date):  Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:  Site Visit Conducted on:  Other information:
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above):  Addison Creek is hydrologically connected to the Des Plaines River, a navigable water.  Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):  Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:  Clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving other:  wetland boundary  destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other:
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria ☐ Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries  This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.
Recommended by:
Approved by: Date:
Wetlands are identified and deline ted using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Madison Homes PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 100-Acre Property Southeast of Crawford Avenue and 203rd Street in Olympia Fields, Cook County, Illinois (Section 14, T35N, R13E). PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☐ Office ☑ Field FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-610 Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. **Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:** There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands<sup>1</sup>). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent<sup>2</sup> (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: HARVEY. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: HARVEY, 90. □ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: HARVEY, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerials (Name & Date):Cook County 1998 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: Site Visit Conducted on: 16 May 2006 Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Two wetlands were identified in the wetland delineation. A channel connecting the two wetlands was also noted during a 16 May 2006 site visit by representatives of this office. Both wetlands and the channel drain to Butterfield Creek, then to the Little Calumet River, a navigable water under jurisdiction of this office. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank  $\square$  destruction of terrestrial vegetation ☐ shelving the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil other: Wetland boundary **Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:** Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] **Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries** This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by This office does not confirm your wetland boundary. Recommended by: Date: Approved by: Date: <sup>1</sup>Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,

<sup>1</sup>Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPLICANT: Condon Consulting Engineers PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Michenry County	
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-465 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☐ Office ☐ Field	
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD)(For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)  Based on available information:  There are no waters on the project site.  There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.  There are waters of the United States on the project site.  There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.	le
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:	
There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.  The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transpo interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigab waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))  The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))  The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))  The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))  The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).  Other:  Section 10 waterway.	le
Information Reviewed	
<ul> <li>☑ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: HEBRON.</li> <li>☑ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: HEBRON, HA 363.</li> <li>☑ USDA National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for McHenry County.</li> <li>☑ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: HEBRON, 1963.</li> <li>☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:</li> <li>☑ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: MCHENRY, 1944.</li> <li>☑ Aerial Photographs (Name &amp; Date):1939, 2001, 2004</li> <li>☑ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: MCHENRY COUNTY</li> <li>☑ Site Visit Conducted on: 3/30/2006</li> <li>☑ Other information: FEMA FIRM map, McHenry County Natural Areas Inventory, NRCS Swampbuster map</li> </ul>	
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetland 1 in the northern portion of the subject property, conn to a large wetland north of the property, ADID High Habitat wetland #N10. Wetland 2 drains offsite from the southeastern portion of the property and also connects to ADID #N10. This large wetland complexed drains to the North Branch Nippersi Creek, which Drains to Nippersink Creek, and the Fox River, a navigable waterway.	
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):  Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:  clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris the presence of litter and debris the character of soil wetland boundary  destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other:	
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:	
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a) ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criterian ☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criterian.	a
Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]	
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries  ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary  ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary	
Recommended by:	_
Approved by:	
Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corns Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPLICANT: Dennis Niklaus PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: McHenry County
FILE NUMBER: 200600387 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☐ Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD)(For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2). Based on available information:  There are no waters on the project site.  There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.  There are waters of the United States on the project site.  There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
<ul> <li>□ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.</li> <li>□ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))</li> <li>□ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))</li> <li>□ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))</li> <li>□ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))</li> <li>□ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).</li> <li>□ Other:</li> <li>□ Section 10 waterway.</li> </ul>
Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory:
U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  USDA National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for McHenry County.  U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: CRYSTAL LAKE, 1992.  U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:  U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:  Aerial Photographs (Name & Date):2004  Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:  Site Visit Conducted on:  Other information: FEMA FIRM map
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The property is bordered to the south by Woods Creek, which flow to Crystal Creek, and to the Fox River, a navigable waterway, and is therefore jurisdictional. The house additions will not be completed within wetlands or waters of the U.S.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):  Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:  clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary  destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other:
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria ☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries  This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated This office does not confirm your wetland boundary  .
Date: 5-/-2006
Approved by:
Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corns Wetland Delineation Manual (97 Manual) (i.e.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPLICANT: Plass Appliances PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: southwest corner of Army Trail Road and Valley View Road in Bloomindale, DuPage County, IL/West Branch DuPage River
FILE NUMBER: 200501106 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☐ Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)  Based on available information:  There are no waters on the project site.  There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.  There are waters of the United States on the project site.  There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
<ul> <li>□ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.</li> <li>□ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))</li> <li>□ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))</li> <li>□ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))</li> <li>□ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))</li> <li>□ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).</li> <li>□ Other:</li> <li>□ Section 10 waterway.</li> </ul>
Information Reviewed
<ul> <li>☑ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: LOMBARD.</li> <li>☑ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: LOMBARD, HA 143.</li> <li>☑ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for DuPage County.</li> <li>☑ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: LOMBARD, 1993.</li> <li>☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:</li> <li>☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:</li> <li>☐ Aerial Photographs (Name &amp; Date):</li> <li>☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: DUPAGE COUNTY</li> <li>☐ Site Visit Conducted on:</li> <li>☐ Other information:</li> </ul>
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Project involves work within the West Branch of the DuPage River which is a jurisdictional waters of the U.S.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):  Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:  clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary  destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria ☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries  This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.
Recommended by: ORIGINAL SIGNED Date: 5-23-04
Approved by: Date:Date:Date:Date:Date:Date:Date:
Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delinean Manual (4 Maul) e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Village of Libertyville PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Along The Des Plaines River Located South of Rockland Road In The Village of Libertyville, Lake County, Illinois (Section 22, T44N, R11E) PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☑ Field FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-605 Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. **Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:** There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands<sup>1</sup>). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent<sup>2</sup> (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: ☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerials (Name & Date): Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: Site Visit Conducted on: April 27, 2006 Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetland 1, 2, and 3 as referenced in your delineation report, are under the jurisdiction of this office because they are a part of the Des Plaines River, a navigable water. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soil wetland boundary other **Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:** Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries 冈 This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated March 20, 2006, prepared by Hey and Associates, Inc. This office does not confirm your wetland boundary Recommended b Date: Approved by:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

Cook County, Illinois (Section 35, T38N, R12E).
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2004-13093 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☐ Office ☐ Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)  Based on available information:  There are no waters on the project site.  There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.  There are waters of the United States on the project site.  There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
<ul> <li>☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.</li> <li>☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))</li> <li>☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))</li> <li>☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))</li> <li>☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))</li> <li>☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).</li> <li>☐ Other:</li> <li>☐ Section 10 waterway.</li> </ul>
Information Reviewed
<ul> <li>U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: PALOS PARK.</li> <li>U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:</li></ul>
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Area does not meet the three criteria for a wetland in accordance with the Corps 1987 Manual.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):  Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:  clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soil other:  wetland boundary
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
<ul> <li>✓ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)</li> <li>☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria</li> <li>✓ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria</li> </ul>
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries  This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.
Approved by:  ORIGINAL SIGNED  Date:
Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,

occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: V3 Molitor, LLC PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Property located north of the Illinois Prairie Path, south of Molitor Road and east of Felten Street in unincorporated Aurora, Kane County, Illinois (NE 1/4 of Section 12, T38N R8E)

FILE NUMBER: 200600289 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: $igtigstyle igstyle igstyle$	☑ Office ⊠Field
<b>Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD)</b> (For sites regulated under 33 action. (33 CFR 331.2)	3 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable
Based on available information:  There are no waters on the project site.  There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.  There are waters of the United States on the project site.  There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional	nal waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:	
☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on th ☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in th interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) ☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). ( ☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of to ☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neige except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328. ☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streat sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes. ☐ Other: ☐ Section 10 waterway.	the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) ighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, (3.3 (a)(7)) teams (including intermittent streams), mudflats,
Information Reviewed	
<ul> <li>U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: A</li> <li>U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: AURORA NORTH</li> <li>U. S. Geological Survey For Minute Topographic Maps: AURORA NORTH</li> <li>U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: AURORA NORTH</li> <li>U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:</li> <li>U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:</li> <li>Aerial Photographs (Name &amp; Date): No source or date</li> <li>Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: KANE COUNTY</li> <li>Site Visit Conducted on: March 30, 2006 by Jaimee W. Hamm</li> <li>Other information: FEMA FIRM, The Wetland Delineation an (revised April 7, 2006), prepared by V3 Companies.</li> </ul>	ГН, НА 70. for Kane County. RORA NORTH, 1993  mit of the USACE, Chicago District
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The on-site wetlewater flows through a drainage ditch north of the Illinois Prairie Path all the the Fox River, a navigable waterway. Therefore, the wetland on-site (designated). Army Corps of Engineers.	way to Indian Creek. Indian Creek is a tributary o
the presence of litter and debris	destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other:
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:	
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under consideration.	under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a	(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries  This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated This office does not confirm your wetland boundary.	, prepared by .
Recommended by:	Date: > 19 106
Approved by:	Date: ( ) 2 2 4 C C

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

APPLICANT: Catholic Charities Hou South Emerald Avenue	sing Development Corp. PROJECT	LOCATION/WAT	ERWAY: West 78th Street and
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-671	PROJECT REVIEW COMPLET	ED: 🛭 Office 🔲 Fi	ield
	on: e project site.		
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination	1:		
☐ The presence of waters which interstate or foreign commerce, in of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) ☐ The presence of interstate water in the presence of a tributary to the presence of wetlands adjust except for those wetlands adjust in the presence of an isolated wetlands with the presence of an isolated wetlands adjust interest in the presence of an isolated wetlands.	aters of the United States present on a recurrently used, or were used in a cluding all waters which are subject the states (including interstate wetlands <sup>1</sup> ). It is an interstate water or other water of acent <sup>2</sup> (bordering, contiguous, or neacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328 ater (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streeprairie potholes, wet meadows, playar	the past, or may be sure the ebb and flow of the ebb and flow of the ebb and flow of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2) the US. (33 CFR 328 ighboring) to intersta 3.3 (a)(7)) ams (including interm	f the tide (i.e., navigable waters)) 8.3 (a)(5)) ate or other waters of the US, nittent streams), mudflats,
Information Reviewed			
U. S. Geological Survey USDA Natural Resource U. S. Geological Survey U. S. Geological Survey U. S. Geological Survey U. S. Geological Survey Aerials (Name & Date):	es Conservation Service Soil Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: EN 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Wetland Maps:	for Cook County. GLEWOOD, 1993.	
Rationale for Basis (applies to any bounds.) U.S. present onsite.	oxes checked above): The site contain	ins an existing buildin	ng and there are no waters of the
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 Cl Ordinary High Water Mark clear, natural line impressed or the presence of litter and debri changes in the character of soi wetland boundary	indicated by:  1 the bank  destruction of terrestr  S  shelving	ial vegetation	
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:			
Area under consideration Area under consideration	te of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a is likely to have been jurisdictional is not likely have been jurisdictional	under pre-SWANCC I under pre-SWANCO	Migratory Bird Rule criteria C Migratory Bird Rule criteria
	ove jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3	(a)(3) [attach copy o	f HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundarie  This office concurs with your This office does not confirm	wetland delineation report dated	, prepared by	
Recommend ORIGINAL	SIGNED		5-18-06
Approved by:	g the methods and criteria established in	Date: the Corps Wetland Delin	neation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,
occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydri	c soils and wetland hydrology). Processe	s for determining wetland	nds on agricultural lands may vary

from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPLICANT: Landover Corporation PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Bluff Lake/Fox River watershed
FILE NUMBER: 200600439 (LRC-2006-23513) PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☐ Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)  Based on available information:  There are no waters on the project site.  There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.  There are waters of the United States on the project site.  There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
<ul> <li>□ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.</li> <li>□ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))</li> <li>□ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))</li> <li>□ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))</li> <li>□ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))</li> <li>□ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).</li> <li>□ Other:</li> <li>□ Section 10 waterway.</li> </ul>
Information Reviewed
U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory:
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Lake Michigan is a navigable water of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):  Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:  clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary  Clear, natural line impressed on the bank ordinary  Medestruction of terrestrial vegetation ordinary  ordinary ordinary ordinary  ordinary ordinary ordinary  ordinary ordinary  ordinary ordinary ordinary ordinary ordinary ordinary ordinary  ordinary ordinary ordinary ordinary ordinary ordinary ordinary  ordinary or
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria ☐ Area under consideration is <u>not</u> likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries  ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary
Recommended by:  ORIGINAL SIGNED  Date: MAY 1 8 2006
Approved by.
Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corns Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Keira Construction PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Northwest of the Intersection of MOnee-Manhattan Road and Harlem Avenue in Green Garden, Will County, Illinois FILE NUMBER: 200501114/LRC-2005-13862 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:  Office Field		
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)  Based on available information:  There are no waters on the project site.  There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.  There are waters of the United States on the project site.  There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.		
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:		
<ul> <li>□ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.</li> <li>□ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))</li> <li>□ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))</li> <li>□ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))</li> <li>□ The presence of wetlands adjacent² ( bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))</li> <li>□ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).</li> <li>□ Other:</li> <li>□ Section 10 waterway.</li> </ul>		
Information Reviewed		
<ul> <li>☑ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: FRANKFORT.</li> <li>☑ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: FRANKFORT, 231.</li> <li>☑ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.</li> <li>☑ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: FRANKFORT, 1990.</li> <li>☑ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles:</li></ul>		
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetland A is adjacent to the tributary located on the southern edge of the property. The tributary drains into Forked Creek, which then flows into the Des Plaines River a navigable waters of the U.S. Therefore, Wetland A and the tributary are jurisdictional.		
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):  Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:  clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soil other: wetland boundary		
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:		
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria ☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria		
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]		
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries  ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated June 30, 2005, prepared by JFNew. ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary		
Recommended by:         S         Date:         17 may ob           Approved by:         -5         Date:         12 may ob		
Approved by:		
Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,		

<sup>1</sup>Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

Approved by	:Date:
Recommende	Date: 17 May 06
⊠ This □ Thi	of Wetland Boundaries office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated November 3, 2005, prepared by JFNew. s office does not confirm your wetland boundary
☐ Head	quarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
	ble to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7). Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria. Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria.
Basis for Dec	lining Jurisdiction:
	inary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary  destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other:
	<b>Basis (applies to any boxes checked above):</b> The tributary located on subject property drains to the west under ltimately discharging into Forked Creek. Forked Creek drains into the Des Plaines River.
	Reviewed  U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: FRANKFORT.  U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: FRANKFORT, 231.  USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.  U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: FRANKFORT, 1990.  U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles:  U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles:  Aerials (Name & Date): University Village, 1997  Advanced Identification Wetland Maps:  Site Visit Conducted on: April 21, 2006  Other information:
☐ Othe	or: ion 10 waterway.
☐ The Exce	presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands <sup>1</sup> ). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) presence of wetlands adjacent <sup>2</sup> (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, pt for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, (flats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
☐ The The interstate of the U.	re are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.  presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
Basis of Juris	dictional Determination:
action. (33 CF Base	risdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable R 331.2) and on available information:  There are no waters on the project site.  There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.  There are waters of the United States on the project site.  There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
FILE NUMB	e in University Park, Will County, Illinois  ER: 200600230 (LRC-2006-14020)  PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:  Office Field
	: Stonecrest, Ltd PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Southwest of the Intersection of Palmer and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPLICANT: Commercial Group PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Southwest of the Intersection of South River Road and I-80 in Troy Township, Will County, Illinois FILE NUMBER: 200600115 (LRC-2006-23402) PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:   Office Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)  Based on available information:  There are no waters on the project site.  There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.  There are waters of the United States on the project site.  There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
<ul> <li>□ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.</li> <li>□ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))</li> <li>□ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))</li> <li>□ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))</li> <li>□ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))</li> <li>□ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).</li> <li>□ Other:</li> <li>□ Section 10 waterway.</li> </ul>
Information Reviewed
<ul> <li>☑ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: CHANNAHON.</li> <li>☑ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: CHANNAHON, 362.</li> <li>☑ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County.</li> <li>☑ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: CHANNAHON, 1993.</li> <li>☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles:</li></ul>
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The tributary located on the subject property drains into the DuPage River. The DuPage River discharges into the Des Plaines River, a navigable waters of the U.S.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):  Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:  Clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary  destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other:
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)  Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria  Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries  ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated August 18, 2005, prepared by JFNew. ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary
Recommended by: Date: 17 May 06
Recommended by:
<sup>1</sup> Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPLICANT: Timberlake Civic Association	PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Timberlake/Fox River watershed
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-562	PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ⊠ Office ☐ Field
action. (33 CFR 331.2)  Based on available information:  There are no waters on the project single There are non-jurisdictional waters of the United States.	n the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:	
interstate or foreign commerce, including all of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))  ☐ The presence of interstate waters (includ ☐ The presence of a tributary to an intersta ☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (box except for those wetlands adjacent to oth ☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., i	tly used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters ing interstate wetlands <sup>1</sup> ). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) te water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) redering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US,
Information Reviewed	
U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic USDA Natural Resources Conserva U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute	tion Service Soil Survey for Lake County. Topographic Maps: BARRINGTON, 1993. Historic Quadrangles: Historic Quadrangles: y 1939, 2000, 2004, & 2005; PhotoMapper 2001, 2002, & 2005
	d above): Timberlake is tributary to the Fox River via Flint Creek. The Fox therefore Timberlake is also a water of the United States under the jurisdiciton
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and Ordinary High Water Mark inc	licated by:
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:	
Area under consideration is likely to	listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria y have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdic	tion on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries  This office concurs with your wetland de  This office does not confirm your wetland	nd boundary
Recommended by:	Date: 5 / 15/56
Approved by:	Date: 5 / 1 / 00

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPLICANT: Kenneth Such PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Wonder Lake
FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-708 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:   Office  Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD)(For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2)  Based on available information:  There are no waters on the project site.  There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.  There are waters of the United States on the project site.  There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.  The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))
The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands <sup>1</sup> ). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))  The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))  The presence of wetlands adjacent <sup>2</sup> (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))
<ul> <li>The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).</li> <li>Other:</li> <li>Section 10 waterway.</li> </ul>
Information Reviewed  U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USDA National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for McHenry County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: RICHMOND, 1992. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): 2004 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: MCHENRY COUNTY Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: Photos submitted by applicant
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wonder Lake is tributary to the Fox River, a navigable waterway under Department of the Army jurisdiction.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):  Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:  clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary  destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other:
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria ☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries  This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by This office does not confirm your wetland boundary
Recommended by: Date: Date:
Recommended by:
Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corns Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-524 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☐ Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: ☐ There are no not waters on the project site. ☐ There are no not waters on the project site. ☐ There are no vaters of the United States on the project site. ☐ There are both waters of the United States on the project site. ☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. ☐ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. ☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the 6bb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(1)) ☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) ☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) ☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) ☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water water of the U.S. (35 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) ☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water water of the U.S. (35 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) ☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water water of the U.S. (35 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) ☐ The presence of a tributary to the fore wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).	APPLICANT: Timberlake Civic Association	PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: I imberiake/Fox River watershed
aetion. (33 CPR 331.2)  Based on available information:    There are no waters on the project site.   There are no waters of the United States on the project site.   There are no invitisdictional waters on the project site.   There are waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.   There are waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.   The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the beb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))   The presence of stributary to an interstate wetlands <sup>1</sup> ). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))   The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))   The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))   The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))   The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))   The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))   The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))   The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))   The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the U.S. (36 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))   The presence of a tributary to other water of the U.S. (35 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))   The presence of a tributary to the Fox River water of the U.S. (35 CFR 328.3 (a)(3))   U.S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Topographic Maps: BARRINGTON, 1993.   U.S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:	FILE NUMBER: LRC-2006-524	PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ⊠ Office □ Field
There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site.  The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(1))  The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))  The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))  The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))  The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (32 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))  The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).  Other:  Section 10 waterway.  Information Reviewed  U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Tiopographic Maps: BARRINGTON, 1993.  U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:  V. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:  Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY.  Site Visit Conducted on:  Other information:  Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Timberlake is tributary to the Fox River via Flint Creek. The Fox River is a navigable water of the United States and therefore Timberlake is also a water of the United States under the jurisdicition of the Department of the Army.  Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):  Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:  Schanges in the character of soil  wetland boundary  Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:  Maps: Lake County of HQ rationale Confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)  Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria head presenc	action. (33 CFR 331.2)  Based on available information:  There are no waters on the project si  There are non-jurisdictional waters of  There are waters of the United States	te. n the project site. on the project site.
The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(1))   The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2))   The presence of withoutary to an interstate water or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))   The presence of withoutary to an interstate water or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))   The presence of withoutary to an interstate water or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7))   The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).   Other: Section 10 waterway.   Information Reviewed	Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:	
U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory:  U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County.  U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: BARRINGTON, 1993.  U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:  U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:  U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles:  Aerials (Name & Date):Lake County 1939, 2000, 2004, & 2005; PhotoMapper 2001, 2002, & 2005  Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY.  Site Visit Conducted on:  Other information:  Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Timberlake is tributary to the Fox River via Flint Creek. The Fox River is a navigable water of the United States and therefore Timberlake is also a water of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.  Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):  Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:  □ clear, natural line impressed on the bank □ the presence of litter and debris □ changes in the character of soil □ other  □ wetland boundary  Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:  □ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)  □ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria □ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria □ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]  Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries □ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated □ This office concurs with your wetland boundary	☐ The presence of waters which are curren interstate or foreign commerce, including all of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) ☐ The presence of interstate waters (included the presence of a tributary to an interstate the presence of wetlands adjacent <sup>2</sup> (both except for those wetlands adjacent to oth the presence of an isolated water (e.g., is sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie poth ther:	tly used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters ing interstate wetlands <sup>1</sup> ). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) te water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) redering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, er wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) ntrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats,
River is a navigable water of the United States and therefore Timberlake is also a water of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.  Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329):  Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:    Celear, natural line impressed on the bank	U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service Nat U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic  USDA Natural Resources Conserva  U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute  Aerials (Name & Date):Lake Count  Advanced Identification Wetland M  Site Visit Conducted on:	c Atlas:  tion Service Soil Survey for Lake County.  Topographic Maps: BARRINGTON, 1993.  Historic Quadrangles:  Historic Quadrangles:  y 1939, 2000, 2004, & 2005; PhotoMapper 2001, 2002, & 2005
Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:    clear, natural line impressed on the bank   destruction of terrestrial vegetation   the presence of litter and debris   shelving   other   wetland boundary    Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:    Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)   Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria   Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria   Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]    Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries   This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated   prepared by   This office does not confirm your wetland boundary	River is a navigable water of the United States and	
Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7)  ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria  ☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria  ☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]  Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries  ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by  ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary	Ordinary High Water Mark in   clear, natural line impressed on  the presence of litter and debris  changes in the character of soil	dicated by: the bank
☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria ☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria ☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]  Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary	Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:	
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries  ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary	Area under consideration is likely to	have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary	☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdic	tion on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Recommended by:	☐ This office concurs with your wetland de	
Approved by:	Recommended by:	Date:
	Approved by:	Date:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Potomac Corporation PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 23.4 Acre Property Along Old Willow Road and McDonald Creek in Wheeling, Cook County, Illinois (Section 23, T42N, R11E). PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☐ Office ☐ Field **FILE NUMBER:** LRC-2006-14023 Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. **Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:** There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands<sup>1</sup>). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent<sup>2</sup> (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: ARLINGTON HEIGHTS. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: ARLINGTON HEIGHTS, HA 67. ☑ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Cook County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: ARLINGTON HEIGHTS, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerials (Name & Date):Cook County 1998 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: Site Visit Conducted on: 4 May 2006 Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetland W-1 is adjacent to McDonald Creek. McDonald Creek drains to the Des Plaines River, a navigable water under iurisdiction of this office. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soil other: wetland boundary Basis for Declining Jurisdiction: Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated 15 November 2005, prepared by Raisanen & Associates, This office does not confirm your wetland boundary. Recommended by: \_\_\_\_ Approved by: Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.